



**ANTI-NUCLEAR  
PROLIFERATION AND ARMS  
CONTROL AGENCY**

BCNMUN 2024

---

Identity and Conflict

# Table of Contents

- Table of Contents..... 2
- Introduction..... 3
- Definition of Key Terms..... 3
- Background Information..... 3
- Major Countries and Organisations Involved.....3
- Timeline of Events..... 4
- Relevant UN Treaties and Resolutions..... 4
- Previous Attempts to solve the Issue..... 4
- Possible Solutions..... 5
- Bibliography..... 5

**Topic:** Measures to regulate the international production and distribution of arms and artillery between Member States.

**Chair:** Bosco Cardenal Martí

**Position:** Chair

---

## Introduction

Regulating the international production and distribution of arms is one of the toughest challenges the global community faces. The arms trade isn't just about economics—it's deeply connected to global security, geopolitics, and human rights. When weapons flow without proper regulation, it can fuel violence, escalate conflicts, and lead to human rights abuses. While some argue that arms can play a role in maintaining security, the real problem lies in the proliferation of weapons through illegal or illegitimate means. This unregulated trade spreads weapons into the wrong hands, making it harder to control conflicts and ensure global stability.

The history of arms production and trade is as old as warfare itself. However, the modern era, particularly after World War II, saw a massive upsurging of globalization, including in the means of arm trading. The Cold War period was marked by an arms race between the United States and the Soviet Union, with both countries producing and distributing vast quantities of weapons to their allies. However, the end of the Cold War did not bring an end to the arms trade. Instead, it led to a diffusion of arms production capabilities to other countries, including least economically developed countries that had not, until that point, developed a mechanism for arm trade as fast as that of wealthier countries. Not only this, but countries facing extreme poverty were most likely facing periods of decolonization struggles within their countries, leading to civil wars and revolutions, conflicts that certainly increased the need for arms.

In recent years, arms trade has become increasingly globalized. The regulation of flow of weapons has been difficult due to the rise of new producers. Countries like China, India, and South Korea have started making their own weapons, which has crowded the arms market. With more nations involved, it's harder to regulate where weapons end up, especially when newer producers might not follow strict international rules. Another reason is the spread of technology. New technologies, like 3D printing and drones, make it easier for even small groups to produce weapons. This tech spreads fast, making it harder to control who uses it and how, especially when it's no longer just governments involved. Finally the increasing involvement of private companies also affects the flow. Private companies, driven by profit, now play a major role in arms production. With companies working across multiple countries, it's difficult to monitor or regulate deals, making it easier for weapons to be sold or traded without oversight.

The implications of the unregulated production and distribution of arms are concerning to say the least. On a global level, the proliferation of arms can destabilize regions, fuel conflicts, and lead to widespread human suffering. On a national level, the availability of arms can lead to internal conflicts, human rights abuses, and a breakdown of law and order. Moreover, the arms trade is closely linked to other global issues, such as terrorism, organized crime, and human trafficking. Regulating the arms trade is, therefore, not just a matter of controlling the flow of weapons. It is also about addressing the underlying causes of conflict as well as promoting peace and security. The challenge for the international community is to develop an effective regulatory framework that balances the legitimate needs for self-defense with the need to prevent the spread of arms that could be used for aggression or human rights violations.

## Definition of Key Terms

### Arms/Artillery

Refers to a broad range of weapons, including small arms (such as rifles and pistols), light weapons (such as machine guns and grenades), and heavy military technology (such as tanks, missiles, and artillery). The term also includes ammunition and components used in these weapons. Arms and artillery are typically designed for use by military forces but can also be used by non-state actors, including insurgents and terrorist groups.

## Production

The process of manufacturing weapons and military equipment. This includes the development, testing, and mass production of arms. Arms production is a complex and highly technical process that involves various stages, from the design of weapons to their assembly and final testing. The production of arms is often carried out by private companies, but in some countries, it is also undertaken by state-owned enterprises.

## Distribution

Distribution refers to the act of selling, transferring, or providing arms to other entities, whether nations or groups. Distribution can take various forms, including government-to-government sales, commercial exports by private companies, and illicit transfers carried out by criminal organizations. The distribution of arms is subject to various national and international regulations, but these are often circumvented by illegal arms traders.

## Regulate

To control or supervise an activity through rules or laws to ensure it is conducted responsibly. In the context of the arms trade, regulation involves the establishment of legal frameworks that govern the production, transfer, and use of arms. Regulation can be carried out at the national level by individual states, at the regional level by organizations such as the European Union, or at the international level by the United Nations.

# Background Information

## Historical Context

The international arms trade has evolved significantly over time. While the production and distribution of weapons have always been intertwined with the rise and fall of empires and the shifting dynamics of power among nations, it wasn't until the 20th century that arms trading emerged as a global industry. This expansion brought with it serious concerns about the implications of unregulated arms sales on international stability and security.

The two World Wars in the early 20th century led to a massive increase in the production of weapons. Countries invested heavily in developing new technologies, and the scale of arms production reached unprecedented levels. After World War II, the arms race between the United States and the Soviet Union further accelerated the production and distribution of arms. Both nations sought to arm their allies around the world, leading to the spread of weapons to various regions, including Europe, Asia, Africa, and Latin America.

The Cold War period also saw the emergence of new arms producers outside the traditional Western powers. Countries such as China and India began developing their own arms industries, while countries in the Middle East and Latin America became important players in the global arms market. The end of the Cold War did not bring an end to the arms trade; instead, it led to a shift in the dynamics of the global arms market, with new producers and new conflicts driving demand for weapons

## The Modern Arms Trade

Today, the arms trade isn't just about selling weapons—it's tied to bigger political and economic strategies, creating a massive, complex system where billions are at stake. The U.S., Russia, China, France, and Germany dominate the market, making up over 70% of all arms exports. But this isn't just about money or boosting economies—these countries are using arms deals to gain influence. When a powerful nation sells weapons, they often secure political alliances or sway global power in their favor. For poorer countries, this means depending on wealthier ones for military support, creating a dangerous power imbalance. It raises the question: should nations really be allowed to profit from selling arms, especially when those weapons might be used in conflicts that harm civilians?

This dependence on the wealthiest countries leads to further problems. For smaller, poorer nations, the choice to buy weapons isn't just about defense—it's about survival. They often have to side with a major power just to protect themselves. But those selling the weapons aren't doing it out of goodwill; they're doing it for profit, and this profit can fuel conflicts rather than prevent them. For instance, when countries like the U.S. or Russia sell arms to nations involved in ongoing conflicts, the

weapons often end up being used against civilians or prolonging wars. This makes it harder for global peace efforts to succeed.

The arms trade also has a direct link to terrorism and organized crime. When weapons are bought and sold, it's not just governments that get involved—non-state actors, like terrorist groups or militias, can get their hands on these weapons too. Illicit arms trafficking is a major global issue, and these weapons often end up in regions where conflict is already high. Once weapons are in circulation, they're nearly impossible to track or control. In conflict zones, they fuel violence and instability, making it harder to resolve crises and rebuild nations.

Furthermore, arms trade profits often mean there's little incentive to stop production, even when weapons are being used in human rights violations. Countries and private companies are making too much money to back down, and as long as there's demand, the supply will continue. Arms sales to countries involved in controversial conflicts—like Saudi Arabia's role in Yemen—are often criticized because they lead to civilian casualties, but sales don't stop because they're too profitable.

All of this creates a cycle where wealthier nations and private companies profit from the conflicts of poorer countries, while destabilizing regions in the process. The more weapons sold, the harder it becomes to establish peace, prevent human rights abuses, or resolve the root causes of the conflict.

## Major Countries and Organizations Involved

### United States

As the world's largest arms exporter, the United States plays a central role in the global arms trade. The U.S. defense industry is a major economic sector, employing hundreds of thousands of people and generating billions of dollars in revenue each year. U.S. arms exports are governed by a complex regulatory framework that includes the Arms Export Control Act, the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR), and various other laws and regulations. The U.S. government also plays a direct role in arms exports through programs such as Foreign Military Sales (FMS) and Direct Commercial Sales (DCS).

The U.S. uses arms exports as a tool of foreign policy, providing weapons to allies and partners to enhance their defense capabilities and strengthen strategic alliances. However, U.S. arms exports have also been criticized for fueling conflicts and human rights abuses in various parts of the world. For example, U.S. arms sales to Saudi Arabia have been controversial due to their use in the conflict in Yemen, where thousands of civilians have been killed in airstrikes.

## Russia

Russia is the second-largest arms exporter in the world, with a long history of producing and exporting weapons. The Russian arms industry is a key component of the country's economy and a major source of revenue. Russian arms exports are often used as a tool of diplomacy, with the Russian government providing weapons to countries that align with its geopolitical interests. The country mainly exports to regions such as the Middle East, Africa, and Asia. For example, Russia has provided weapons to the Syrian government in its fight against rebel forces, and it has sold arms to various African countries as part of its strategy to expand its influence on the continent. Russia's military involvement has also been linked to conflicts in Ukraine, where Russian-made weapons have been used by separatist forces.

## China

China has rapidly emerged as a major player in the global arms trade, with its arms exports growing significantly in recent years. China's arms industry is closely linked to its broader economic and technological development, and the country has invested heavily in developing advanced weapons systems. Chinese arms exports are often targeted at developing countries, particularly in Africa and Asia, where China seeks to expand its influence.

China's exports are part of its broader strategy to project power and increase its global presence. For example, China has sold weapons to countries such as Pakistan, which is a key partner in its Belt and Road Initiative (BRI). China's tendency to mass produce and sell weapons has raised concerns about the proliferation of such arms in conflict zones, as Chinese-made weapons have been found in the hands of insurgent groups in various parts of the world.



## European Union

The European Union is a major player in the global arms trade, with several Member States among the world's top arms exporters. The EU has established a common regulatory framework for arms exports, known as the EU Common Position on Arms Exports, which sets out criteria for evaluating arms export licenses. However, individual Member States retain the final decision on whether to approve or deny arms exports.

The EU's arms exports are concentrated in a few key countries, including France, Germany, and the United Kingdom. These countries have well-established defense industries and export a wide range of weapons, from small arms to advanced military technology. The EU's arms exports are often tied to broader political and economic agreements, and the EU uses arms sales as a tool of foreign policy.

Nevertheless, arms exports from certain EU countries have been criticized for fueling conflicts and enabling human rights abuses. For instance, France, the UK, and Germany have faced backlash for their arms sales to Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates, particularly because these weapons have been used in the ongoing conflict in Yemen. These countries have been accused of prioritizing economic gain over ethical concerns, despite evidence that their weapons are being used against civilians. Additionally, there are concerns about the lack of transparency and accountability in how these nations approve and monitor arms exports, further complicating the situation.

## United Nations

The United Nations plays a key role in regulating the international arms trade through its various treaties, resolutions, and initiatives. The most significant of these is the Arms Trade Treaty (ATT), which was adopted by the UN General Assembly in 2013 and entered into force in 2014. The ATT is the first legally binding international treaty that regulates the trade in conventional arms, including small arms, light weapons, battle tanks, combat aircraft, and warships.

The ATT establishes common standards for the international trade in arms and seeks to prevent the diversion of arms to the illicit market. It requires states to assess the potential risks

associated with arms exports, including the risk that the weapons could be used to commit human rights abuses or contribute to conflicts. The ATT also promotes transparency and accountability in the arms trade by requiring states to remain transparent about their export and imports. .

However, the ATTT has faced challenges in its implementation, particularly due to the lack of participation by major arms exporters such as the United States and Russia. The UN has also established various arms embargoes and sanctions as part of its efforts to prevent the flow of weapons to conflict zones. These measures are often implemented by the UN Security Council and are legally binding on all UN member states.

## Timeline of Events

- |             |   |
|-------------|---|
| <b>1945</b> | End of World War II and the beginning of the Cold War. The United States and the Soviet Union emerged as superpowers and began an arms race that will last for decades.                     |
| <b>1968</b> | The Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT) is signed, marking the first major international effort to control the spread of nuclear weapons.                              |
| <b>1987</b> | The Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces (INF) Treaty is signed by the United States and the Soviet Union, leading to the elimination of an entire class of nuclear and conventional missiles. |
| <b>1991</b> | The end of the Cold War led to a shift in the dynamics of the global arms trade, with the dissolution of the Soviet Union and the emergence of new arms producers.                          |
| <b>2001</b> | The September 11 terrorist attacks lead to a renewed focus on preventing the proliferation of weapons to non-state actors, such as terrorist groups.  |

<b>2006</b>	The UN General Assembly adopts the resolution establishing the process for negotiating the Arms Trade Treaty (ATT).
<b>2013</b>	The Arms Trade Treaty (ATT) is adopted by the UN General Assembly. It entered into force in 2014, becoming the first legally binding international treaty regulating the global arms trade.
<b>2015</b>	The conflict in Yemen escalates, leading to widespread criticism of arms exports to the Saudi-led coalition involved in the conflict.
<b>2020</b>	The COVID-19 pandemic disrupts global supply chains, including the production and distribution of arms, highlighting the interconnectedness of the global arms trade.
<b>2022</b>	Russia's invasion of Ukraine leads to a significant increase in arms transfers to Ukraine by Western countries, raising questions about the implications for global arms control efforts.
<b>2023</b>	Ongoing conflicts in various parts of the world, including the Middle East, Africa, and Eastern Europe, underscore the need for stronger international regulation of the arms trade.

## Relevant UN Treaties and Resolutions

### Arms Trade Treaty (ATT) – 2013

- ◆ Adopted: April 2, 2013, by the UN General Assembly
- ◆ Entry into Force: December 24, 2014
- ◆ Purpose: The ATT is the first international treaty that directly addresses the regulation of global arms trade. It sets common standards for exporting weapons and asks countries to evaluate the risks of arms transfers, such as whether those weapons will be used in conflicts or to commit human rights abuses.

- ◆ Significance: The ATT was a big step in trying to control the arms trade, but it hasn't been as effective as it could be. The lack of participation from major exporters like the U.S. and Russia means that many of the biggest arms deals are still happening without the treaty's oversight. This creates gaps in its effectiveness because some of the most powerful countries aren't fully on board, and without their participation, it's hard to regulate the trade on a global scale.

## UN Security Council Resolution 1540 – 2004

- ◆ Adopted: April 28, 2004
- ◆ Purpose: This resolution demands that all UN member states prevent non-state actors—such as terrorist groups—from getting access to nuclear, chemical, or biological weapons. Though it focuses on weapons of mass destruction, it also deals with the general issue of arms proliferation to groups outside government control.
- ◆ Significance: Resolution 1540 is important because it tackles the problem of non-state actors getting dangerous weapons. However, enforcing this has been challenging. While it sets the goal of stopping terrorists from acquiring weapons, the tools to achieve this—like monitoring and preventing illegal arms trade—haven't always been successfully implemented, leaving gaps in security.

## UN Security Council Arms Embargoes

The UN Security Council has imposed various arms embargoes to stop the flow of weapons to conflict zones. These embargoes are legally binding on all member states and are part of broader sanctions efforts. But just imposing an embargo doesn't mean the weapons stop flowing, and some of the UN's attempts to solve the issue with little success are the UNSC Resolutions 1970 and 918. The former, was issued in 1994, and it imposed an arms embargo on Rwanda during a genocide happening in this time. The idea of the UNSC Resolution 918 was to prevent further weapons from being used in the mass killing of civilians. Unfortunately, by the time the embargo was put in place, the violence had already escalated. Though the embargo aimed to stop the flow of weapons, it came too late to stop the genocide from taking place. Secondly, the UN Security Council adopted Resolution 1970 on the situation in Libya the day of February 26, 2011. Resolution 1970 condemns

the “widespread and systematic attacks taking place against the civilian population in Libya that may amount to crimes against humanity and demands an immediate end to the violence”. The resolution imposed an arms embargo, a travel ban and an assets freeze in connection with the situation in the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya. The embargo aimed to cut off the supply of weapons in and out of Libya. However, it wasn't fully successful. Weapons still made their way into the country through smuggling and illegal channels, worsening the war and delaying efforts for peace.

## Previous Attempts to solve the Issue:

### Failed Resolutions

Various resolutions have been proposed in the UN General Assembly aimed at strengthening the regulation of the arms trade. However, many of these resolutions have failed to gain the necessary support, particularly from major arms exporters. For example, a proposed resolution in the early 2000s to establish a global registry of arms transfers was rejected due to concerns about national sovereignty and the potential impact on legitimate arms sales. In some cases, draft resolutions in the UN Security Council have failed to pass due to the veto power of permanent members. For instance, a draft resolution to impose an arms embargo on Syria during the early years of the civil war, was vetoed by Russia and China, who argued that it would undermine the Syrian government's ability to defend itself.

### Unsuccessful Organizations

**UN Register of Conventional Arms:** Established in 1991, the UN Register of Conventional Arms was designed to increase transparency in the global arms trade by encouraging states to report on their arms exports and imports. While the register has had some success in promoting transparency, its effectiveness has been limited by the lack of participation by some major arms exporters and importers.

**Wassenaar Arrangement:** The Wassenaar Arrangement is a multilateral export control regime that was established in 1996 to promote transparency and responsibility in arms transfers.

While it has contributed to improving international cooperation on arms export controls, it has been criticized for its limited scope and lack of enforcement mechanisms.

## Possible Solutions

### Strengthening International Treaties

One of the most significant steps that could be taken is to strengthen the enforcement mechanisms of the Arms Trade Treaty (ATT). This could include the establishment of a robust monitoring and verification system to ensure that states are complying with their obligations under the treaty. Additionally, efforts could be made to encourage major arms exporters, such as the United States and Russia, to ratify the treaty and fully implement its provisions to encourage international cooperation. In addition to strengthening existing treaties, there has to be a development of new international agreements that address specific aspects of the arms trade. For example, a treaty focused on regulating the transfer of small arms and light weapons, which are often used in conflicts and human rights abuses, could be developed. Another possibility is a treaty that addresses the issue of arms transfers to non-state actors, including terrorist groups and insurgents.

### Improving Transparency and Accountability

National governments have a key role to play in regulating the arms trade. One way to improve regulation is by strengthening national export control systems to ensure that arms exports are subject to rigorous scrutiny and that they comply with international standards. This involves the development of standardized criteria for evaluating arms export licenses, as well as increased cooperation between governments. In order to improve transparency in the arms trade on a global scale, there has to be an establishment of a comprehensive global registry of arms transfers, or reinforcement of similar agencies. Such a registry would require all states to report on their arms exports and imports, including details on the types of weapons, the quantities involved, and the recipient countries. The registry could be managed by the United Nations or another international

body, and it could be used to identify patterns of arms transfers that may contribute to conflicts or human rights abuses.

## Promoting International Cooperation

In addition to global efforts, regional arms control initiatives can also play an important role in regulating the arms trade. For example, regional organizations such as the African Union or the European Union could develop their own arms control agreements that address the specific security challenges faced by their member states. Regional initiatives can also facilitate cooperation between neighboring countries in monitoring and controlling arms transfers. Many developing countries lack the capacity to effectively regulate arms production and distribution within their borders. International cooperation could focus on providing technical assistance and capacity-building support to these countries to help them develop effective arms control systems. This could include training for customs officials, law enforcement, and regulatory agencies, as well as the provision of technology and equipment for monitoring and enforcement.

## Supporting Disarmament and Demobilization Initiatives

**Post-Conflict Disarmament Programs:** In post-conflict settings, disarmament, demobilization, and reintegration (DDR) programs can play a crucial role in reducing the availability of weapons and preventing a return to violence. These programs involve the collection and destruction of weapons, as well as support for former combatants to reintegrate into civilian life. International support for DDR programs could be increased, particularly in conflict-affected regions where the proliferation of arms remains a significant challenge.

**Promoting Arms Buyback Programs:** Arms buyback programs, where governments or international organizations offer financial incentives for individuals to voluntarily surrender their weapons, can be an effective way to reduce the number of arms in circulation. These programs can be particularly useful in countries with high levels of gun violence or in post-conflict settings where large quantities of weapons remain in civilian hands.

## Bibliography

*The International Institute for Strategic Studies*, <https://www.iiss.org/>. Accessed 16 July 2024.

*Small Arms Survey: Home*, <https://www.smallarmssurvey.org/>. Accessed 16 July 2024.

*SIPRI: Home*, <https://www.sipri.org/>. Accessed 18 July 2024.

*Amnesty International*, <https://www.amnesty.org/en/>. Accessed 19 July 2024.

*International Action Network on Small Arms: IANSA*, <https://iansa.org/>. Accessed 19 July 2024.

"Arms trade." *BBC*, <https://www.bbc.com/news/topics/cnq8l222v07t>. Accessed 19 July 2024.

"The Arms Trade Treaty – UNODA." *UNODA*, <https://disarmament.unoda.org/att/>. Accessed 22 July 2024.

"Homepage | Security Council." *the United Nations*, <https://main.un.org/securitycouncil/en>. Accessed 22 July 2024.

"Power Plays." *CSIS*, <https://www.csis.org/analysis/power-plays>. Accessed 27 July 2024.



"SIPRI Yearbook 2023, Summary." *SIPRI*, 11 June 2023,

[https://www.sipri.org/sites/default/files/2023-06/yb23\\_summary\\_en\\_0.pdf](https://www.sipri.org/sites/default/files/2023-06/yb23_summary_en_0.pdf). Accessed 27 July

2024.